	M67VSHET		
1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	New York, N.Y.	
4	v.	20 Cr. 412 (AT)	
5	TIMOTHY SHEA,		
6	Defendant.	Trial	
7	x		
8		June 7, 2022	
9		10:35 a.m.	
10	Before:		
11	HON. ANALISA TORRES,		
12		District Judge and a Jury	
13		and a oury	
14	APPEARANCES		
15	DAMIAN WILLIAMS  United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York		
16			
17	BY: NICOLAS T. ROOS ROBERT B. SOBELMAN		
18	Assistant United States Attorneys		
19	MERINGOLO & ASSOCIATES P.C. Attorneys for Defendant		
20	BY: JOHN C. MERINGOLO ANGELICA B. CAPPELLINO		
21	CLARA S. KALHOUS		
22			
23	Also Present: Sunny Drescher, Paralega	al Specialist, USAO	
24			
25			
	II		

1 (Trial resumed; jury not present) 2 THE COURT: Good morning. 3 Please make your appearances. MR. SOBELMAN: Robert Sobelman and Nicolas Roos for 4 5 the United States. We are joined at counsel table by Sunny Drescher, a paralegal in our office. 6 7 Good morning, your Honor. MR. MERINGOLO: Good morning, your Honor. 8 9 John Meringolo, Anjelica Cappellino and Clara Kalhous 10 for Mr. Shea, who's standing to my right. 11 THE COURT: Please be seated. 12 About 20 minutes ago I got a couple of notes from two 13 of the alternates, both asking to be released. 14 Is there any objection to my releasing all four 15 alternates? MR. SOBELMAN: Your Honor, what we would suggest is 16 17 that the alternates be permitted to return home or work, 18 wherever they wish, and not be held here; that they be 19 instructed not to discuss the case, they need to follow your 20 Honor's instruction that they could be subject to a recall in 21 the event that there was an issue with one or more jurors that 22 are sitting and deliberating now. 23 THE COURT: So Juror No. 3 states that he has pressing 24 work obligations; that he has a manager out of the office

starting today. And the other juror, Alternate Juror No. 2,

also speaks of pressing work obligations.

MR. SOBELMAN: The government has no issue with them going to work, going home; they don't have to return to court unless recalled by your Honor. But we wouldn't want them to read about the case or discuss it with people.

In my experience, other judges have taken a similar step to physically release the jurors, but not release them from their jury duty, depending on -- with the understanding that they could be recalled in the event that they are needed.

THE COURT: Okay. It has not been my practice in the last two decades to do that, but given the length of the deliberations, I think it's appropriate.

So if you'd get the alternates, please.

(Alternate jurors present)

THE COURT: Do the parties agree that all four alternate jurors are present?

MR. SOBELMAN: Yes, your Honor.

MR. MERINGOLO: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: Please be seated.

Good morning. I understand how you have been patiently waiting for a number of days. What I've decided to do is to permit you to leave the courthouse. You can return to home or to work, wherever you go, that is within range of the courthouse.

I am not releasing you from your duties completely. I

am just releasing you from your obligation to be physically 1 2 present in the courthouse. I want you to, of course, keep your 3 phones with you so that we may reach you in case we need you. 4 The same rules apply as before, that you are not 5 permitted to discuss the case amongst yourselves or with anyone 6 else; you are not permitted to permit anyone to discuss the 7 case in your presence; and you cannot listen to or read any news about the case or any other information about the case 8 9 from any source. 10 So I thank you for your patience, and we will call 11 upon you if we need you. 12 ALTERNATE JURORS: Thank you. 13 (Alternate jurors not present) 14 THE COURT: That's all. 15 (Recess pending verdict) THE COURT: Good afternoon. I've received a note from 16 17 the jury. 18 Would you make your appearances, please. 19 MR. ROOS: Good afternoon, your Honor. 20 Nicolas Roos, Robert Sobelman for the United States. 21 MR. MERINGOLO: Good afternoon, your Honor. 22 John Meringolo, Anjelica Cappellino, Clara Kalhous for Tim Shea. 23 24 THE COURT: Please be seated. 25 I received a note at 1:50 p.m. I'm making it Court

Exhibit No. 6. It is signed by the foreperson and it has today's date. It says: We the jury, Judge Torres, in the last few days, we have narrowed our focus to an agreed-upon scope consisting of a few points of contention. We have gone to extreme depth and granular detail building up a bottom-up analysis regarding these points. In doing so, it is abundantly clear that we are even further entrenched in our opposing views.

I interpret this to mean that they are hopelessly deadlocked; and I believe that at this point, after so much time deliberating, that it is appropriate for me to declare a mistrial.

I'll hear from the parties.

MR. ROOS: Certainly I understand your position, your Honor. Can I just have, like, two minutes to confer with Mr. Sobelman about this?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Counsel conferred)

MR. SOBELMAN: Your Honor, do you have a copy of the note?

THE COURT: Yes, I do.

(Counsel conferred)

MR. ROOS: So, your Honor, the one thing, I guess, maybe we just want to be sure about is that when they say, We've agreed upon a scope consisting of a few points of

contention, we just want to make sure that they haven't reached a partial verdict on something and they are fighting over the other things, if that makes sense. They reach a verdict on, say, Count Three, then the few points of contention are over the counts, I don't know. But assuming that the points of contention relate to all of the counts, then we will agree to a mistrial. We would just ask that you clarify.

THE COURT: I will make the inquiry.

If you'll have the jurors brought in, please.

MR. MERINGOLO: Judge, for the record, let me -Judge, I think if we look at the -- if I may, if we look at the
third point: In doing so, it is abundantly clear that we are
further entrenched in our opposing views. I think that they
are even further apart than they were --

THE COURT: There's no harm in asking them whether they have not been able to reach a verdict on all three counts. There's nothing --

MR. MERINGOLO: Okay.

THE COURT: -- that's going to --

MR. MERINGOLO: Thank you.

THE COURT: You can bring in the jurors.

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Do the parties agree that all jurors are present and properly seated?

MR. ROOS: Yes, your Honor.

1 MR. MERINGOLO: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: Please be seated.

Good afternoon, jurors. Thank you again for coming in and for working so hard.

I've received another note from you signed by the foreperson and dated today's date. It came in at 1:50 p.m., and I've made it Court Exhibit No. 6.

It says: We the jury, Judge Torres, in the last few days we have narrowed our focus to an agreed-upon scope consisting of a few points of contention. We have gone to extreme depth and granular detail building a bottom-up analysis regarding these points. In doing so, it is abundantly clear that we are even further entrenched in our opposing views.

So I need another note from you to let me know whether you're saying that you cannot reach a verdict on all three counts or is it that you can reach a verdict on some of the counts. So I'm going to have you go back to the jury room to give me another note.

(Jury not present)

THE COURT: You may be seated.

It's 2:10, and I've received the seventh note. I'm making it Court Exhibit No. 7. It's signed by the foreperson and dated today's date.

It states: We the jury, Judge Torres, we cannot reach a verdict on any of the three counts.

M67VSHET

So I'm going to bring the jurors back in. I'm going to declare a mistrial and dismiss the jurors.

MR. SOBELMAN: Your Honor, we would ask that you inform the jury that they are free to speak with us if they choose to. And we would ask -- we don't intend to bother them today, since they've been here for quite some time. But we would ask that you let them know we would be interested in getting their contact information, email and phone number, so that we could follow up with them at a later time, if they chose to speak with us. We also could address them directly, I just don't want to overstep our position.

THE COURT: So I usually -- one moment.

I usually let them know that the attorneys want to speak to them and would they care to remain. And so those who don't remain I can take a note of. I have all of their contact information.

MR. SOBELMAN: Okay. If the Court is willing to provide it to us, maybe the Court can just let them know that we would intend to be in touch with them. They are free to speak with us if they want to, but, of course, they don't have to.

THE COURT: Do you want to speak with them today?

MR. MERINGOLO: If they want. They may not want.

MR. SOBELMAN: Sure, if they want to stay and talk
with us today, we're obviously happy to do that as well.

M67VSHET

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

You may bring the jurors in.

Jury present)

THE COURT: Do the parties agree that all jurors are present and properly seated?

MR. ROOS: Yes, your Honor.

MR. MERINGOLO: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: Please sit down.

I have received another note from the jury; it came in at 2:10 p.m. It's signed by the foreperson and dated today's date. I'm making it Court Exhibit No. 7.

It says: We the jury, Judge Torres, we cannot reach a verdict on any of the three counts.

Members of the jury, I know you have been working very, very hard. I want to thank you for your service as jurors and for the time and attention that you have given to your deliberations. Inasmuch as you have not been able to reach a verdict, I am declaring a mistrial.

A democracy such as ours could not function without the willingness of people such as you to serve. Some people serve in the military, others work in government, such as myself. But, of course, your most important obligation is to vote. But you've also served as jurors. And it is one of the highest duties that an American citizen can be called upon to perform. So I thank you for the time and attention that you've

devoted to your work.

Now, what you said amongst yourselves may remain secret; you don't have to disclose that to anybody. But you are free now to discuss this case with anyone, if you choose.

I'd like to thank you personally in the jury room, so please wait for me there.

(Jury not present)

THE COURT: I'm ready to go forward on July 11 with another trial. Counsel, will you be ready on that day?

MR. ROOS: Your Honor, I think some of the government lawyers may have other trials scheduled. Certainly the government is always ready to proceed, so we'll make it work.

MR. MERINGOLO: Judge, I have a trial in front of Judge Garaufis starting on July 11th. It's going to be in between four and six weeks. And then subsequent to that, I'll know over the next few days, I have a trial in Philadelphia in United States v. Mazzone and Judge Surrick. That's been pending just as long as this case was.

THE COURT: So that's in August?

MR. MERINGOLO: The July date is going to go into August. And then the September 6th is the *Mazzone* case, and it's a heavy racketeering case.

Both of the cases I haven't really started -- I haven't -- I've started to prepare, but not like really prepare. I'm of the hope that the *Mazzone* case will plead, for

1	my family and myself. But that's going to be like a two-month	
2	trial. But we had negotiations yesterday with the prosecutor.	
3	If it pleads, I'll let you know and then we can do it late	
4	August or September.	
5	THE COURT: I will come back with some more dates.	
6	MR. MERINGOLO: Okay. Hopefully, when cooler heads	
7	prevail, maybe I can sit down with the government and maybe	
8	there's a way we can resolve this case without a trial.	
9	THE COURT: All right. Well, I want to thank all of	
10	you for your hard work.	
11	MR. MERINGOLO: Thank you, Judge.	
12	THE COURT: I'm going to step down and I will ask them	
13	if they will speak with you.	
14	(Trial concluded)	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		